

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-17 and 23-32 are pending in the present application.

The Examiner indicates on the last action that this application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters.

Claims 6-9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, claims 6-9 stand rejected because they are allegedly not clear because these apparatus claims depend from method parent claims and could also be construed as “for use” claims. In addition, the Examiner suggests amending claims 15-17 to recite “The manufacture method of the inspection use tape according to claim” as recited in the parent claims to overcome the rejection.

Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of these rejections in view of the self-explanatory claim amendments made herein. No new matter is added.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

**RESPONSE TO EX PARTE QUAYLE**  
Application No.: 10/727,046

**Attorney Docket No.: Q78736**

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Ryan F. Heavener  
Registration No. 61,512

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE  
**23373**  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 19, 2007